Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Then, what did he say?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He said about the reservation for the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Backward Classes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ರೂಲ್ಸನ್ನು ಫ್ರೇಮ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಈಗ ಯಾರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅವರನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ರೂಲ್ಸನ್ನು ಫ್ರೇಮ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ರೂಲ್ಸನ್ನು ಫ್ರೇಂ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ತರಹ ಅರ್ಹತೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಎಷ್ಟು ಅನುಭವ ಇರಬೇಕು—ಇವೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ರೂಲ್ಸನ್ನು ಫ್ರೇಮ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಜುಡಿಷಿಯಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫೈಡ್ ಪೀಪಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಆರ್. ಟಿ. ಒ. ಆಗಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ನಿಗಾ ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡಿದಿರಾ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is a suggestion for action.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಗಾಮಿಯಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀವಾರ್ ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕರ್ಫರ್ಮ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಅವರನ್ನು ಪ್ರೊವಿಷನಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ರೆಕ್ರೂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇದನ್ನು ಮೊದಲೇ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅರ್ಜೆನ್ಸಿ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ, ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಯಿತು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಅವರ ಕರ್ಕರೆನ್ನನ್ನು ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Under those circumstances, if the Government have referred those appointments for confirmation by the Public Service Commission, will they not have to keep in view the various representations that they have got to give?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is for the Public Service Commission to do as it likes.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—While you have made the appointments provisionally and asked for the consent of the Public Service Commission for those appointments, have you given scope for the Public Service Commission to exercise that discretion?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is left to the Public Service Commission.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Normally the practice is that whatever names the Government propose or recommend for confirmation, the Public Service Commission agrees with them.

Refusal to Permit Loud Speakers at the Meeting of Maharashtra Samithi, Belgaum.

Q.—710. Sri N. O. SAMAJI (Belgaum II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they are aware that a meeting on behalf of Maharashtra Ekikaran Samithi was held at 6.30 P.M. on the 21st May 1957 in the Maruti Temple at Belgaum;

(*b*) whether they are aware that permission to use the loud speakers was refused;

(*c*) the rules applicable for issuing such permission; and the date on which the rules were framed;

(*d*) whether they will place a copy of the rules on the Table of the House;

(*e*) whether they are aware that the loud speakers were used on the occasion of some function in the above temple on 8th April 1957?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Deputy Minister) [on behalf of SRI C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries)].—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No application for permission to use loud speakers at the said meet-ing was received.

(*c*) and (*d*) A copy of the rules framed by the District Magistrate under section 33 (1) (r) (iii) of the Bombay Police Act, 1951 (Bombay XXII of 1951) under his Notification No. DC/POL/1486, dated 5th February 1955, is placed on the Table of the House.

(*e*) No application for permission to use loudspeaker in the said temple on 8th April 1957 was received and no such permission was granted to any person.

[Sri N. O. SAMAJI (In Marathi).—Sir, the reply to clause (b) is wrong.]

[Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (In Marathi).—It is right. I want to make it clear that from the report we have received from the District Magistrate, Belgaum, who is empowered to issue licence, he has not received any application for permission to use loudspeakers on that day.]

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I request you to give us the quotation? The whole answer given is completely wrong. The matter may be enquired into once again and answer given.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member cannot say like that. The remedy is that he should see the Minister concerned and bring it to his notice. Here, I am not concerned with whether the reply is right or wrong. If the Hon'ble Member can convince me that the reply is wrong, I can take action. Simply asserting that the reply is wrong or that the information given is wrong will not help. Under these circumstances, you cannot give any quotation.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know from the Government as to whether at the opening ceremony of Gramadhyog and Khadi at the hands of the Chief Minister on 8th April 1957 in Maruthi Temple at Belgaum, a loudspeaker was used there? The question is whether such a permission was given or not. The Hon'ble Minister said that no such permission was granted and nobody applied for permission. May I point out that on this particular occasion when the Chief Minister was there, he has taken certain part in the opening ceremony and there was a speech on loud speaker. I want to know whether this fact has been brought to the notice of the Government or not.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—No permission was given and no such application was received.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether any loud speaker was used in Belgaum on 8th April 1957?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I should like to have notice of it.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—There is no question of notice because if you will kindly look into the question (*e*), namely, whether they are aware that the loud speakers were used on the occasion of some function in the above temple on 8th April 1957, the answer given is that no application for permission to use loud speaker in the said temple on 8th April 1957 was received and no such permission was granted to any person. The question is not with reference to the application. The question is with reference to the fact whether it has taken place. The Government may say whether they are aware or not aware.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the Government aware that on 8th April 1957 loud speaker was actually used?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—To use a loudspeaker, normally applications should be made and licence should be obtained. But no application was made and no licence was obtained. Therefore, the question does not arise that a loud speaker was used that day.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—The question did arise. The meeting has taken place. We would request that the persons concerned may be proceeded against under the Act.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the Government aware that a loud speaker was used actually on the 8th April 1957?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That information is not available with me. I submit I would like to have notice for that.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Is it not a fact that once a permission is taken to use a loud speaker, does it require always fresh permission to use it?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That is not the case.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳತಕ್ಕ ಉತ್ತರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಫೀಸರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಉತ್ತರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಎನ್‌ಕ್ವೈರಿ ಮಾಡಿ, ಪರ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಕ್ಷನ್ ತಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a question for action.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I request the Government not to give incorrect and misleading information?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member cannot make such remarks. If Hon'ble Members are in possession of facts which show to the contrary, then only they can say like that. They have not produced enough evidence to show that the information supplied by the Government is

(MR. SPEAKER)

wrong. If they prove that, surely I will take proper action.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—I happen to be the President of the Samithi and I definitely know that we applied for permission to use a loud speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In that case put a separate question.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Have the Government got any application which I submitted ?

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is there a practice of giving any acknowledgment for such applications ?

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—Has he handed over the application personally ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Members want to put any question they may address them to the Treasury Benches, because it is they who should answer.

[ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಓ. ಸಾಮಾಜಿ (ಮರಾಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ).—ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸಿದ್ಧ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ, ಚೌಕಸಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?]

[ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್ (ಮರಾಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ).—ಚೌಕಸಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.]

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.— ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು. ಅವರು ಮರಾಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ, ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಏನು ಉಪಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದರು ಎಂದು ನಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Tax on Agricultural Income.

Q.—838. **Sri V. S. PATIL** (Belgaum I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether agricultural income-tax or income-tax on agricultural income has been levied in any part of the State, if so, in what parts and since when ;

(*b*) whether the lands where such tax has been levied are free from land revenue ?

A.—**Sri T. MARIAPPA** (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) (1) The Mysore Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1955, is in force in the Mysore Area. Agricultural Income Tax at the rates specified in Part I of the Schedule to the Act is being charged for each financial year commencing from 1st April 1955.

(2) The Hyderabad Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1950, is continued from 1st November 1956 in the Karnatak region of the ex-Hyderabad State, integrated with the new Mysore State.

(3) The Madras Plantations Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1955, is in force in the Madras Area (South Kanara and Kollegal) from 1st November 1956.

(4) The Coorg Agricultural Income Tax Act is in force in Coorg from 1951 and continues to be in force in that area.

(*b*) No.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know on what basis land revenue is now still retained when income tax on agricultural income has been imposed ?

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—Agricultural Income Tax is levied under the Agricultural Income Tax Act previously approved by this Hon'ble House.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—My question is clear. How can you retain land revenue when you are levying agricultural income tax ?

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—It is not a question of argument. It is a piece of legislation that this Hon'ble House has approved of and we are carrying out the Act.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—On what basis or principle, when you are taking income tax also, you can levy land revenue on small holdings ?

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—Land Revenue is included as one of the items of expenditure that will be incurred while giving the income tax account.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—So, may I know whether it is under the contemplation of the Government that in view of the socialistic pattern of society that is to be evolved, to exempt the land revenue on small holdings or those whose income is less than Rs. 3,000 a year ?

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—It does not arise out of this. It only refers to income tax paying assessees and concerns and also big landholders. Therefore, it does not arise out of this.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Is the Government aware that land revenue is